



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_43341
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-cytoskeleton immunoscreening of Trypanosoma brucei expression library reveals novel immunogenic conserved putative proteins
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	References 2,3 and 17 should be formatted to be consistent with journal style and other references as appeared in the manuscript. See manuscript for minor corrections.	All the corrections have been done as per the suggestion of the reviewer. Authors very much appreciate the comments of the reviewers. We tried to improve the quality of the manuscript based on the thoughtful comments of the reviewers. We have thankfully acknowledged the contribution of the reviewers in the acknowledgement section of this manuscript.
Optional/General comments	The work is expansive and showed author's strong understanding of the research area with methodology and findings in agreement with the justification for the study.	

PART 2:

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	